

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,271	03/23/2004	Scott Papineau	1828A	5081
28005 SPRINT	7590 10/17/2008		EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY			WU, QING YUAN	
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/808,271 PAPINEAU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Qing-Yuan Wu 2194 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12-19.21-23 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 9-10, 12-19, 21-23 and 25-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 and 19 June 2008 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Catent Drawing Review (PTO-948). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ 6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-19, 21-23 and 25-34 are currently pending in the application.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code [pg. 13, line 11; pg. 14, line 13]. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 14, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. As to claims 14, 21 and 27, it is uncertain as to whether these claims are dependent or independent claims. It appears that Applicants intended to claim inventions that are of one statutory class which further depends on claim inventions of another statutory class.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271

Art Unit: 2194

For examination purposes, Claims 14, 21, and 27 are treated as <u>independent claims</u> that recites the same limitation as their corresponding claims 13, 19 and 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-19, 21-23 and 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Papineau (Papineau, Scott, "Sprint PCS J2ME Application Environment".
 Sprint PCS 3G Early Access Conference, February 6, 2002), cited by Applicant on information disclosure statement dated 22 June 2007.
- 7. As to Claim 1, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a computer readable medium having stored therein an object-oriented application program interface including a plurality of object-oriented object classes to allow input and output data to be communicated between applications, the computer readable medium comprising:
- a) a first object-oriented object class for accepting input data on a Java MIDlet in a

 MIDlet Suite from an application management system on a mobile information device when the

 MIDlet is invoked on the mobile information device, wherein the input data is generated by

 another MIDlet in another MIDlet Suite [pgs. 27, 32 and 37, The "Muglet Class" allows context

Art Unit: 2194

information to be passed from the class calling the Muglet to the MIDlet being called, thereby meeting the claim limitation]; and

- b) second object-oriented object class for setting output data from a MIDlet in a MIDlet Suite when the MIDlet is terminated on a mobile information device, wherein the output data is available to an application management system on the mobile information device and can be used by other applications [pg. 28, The "Application Management System (AMS)" passes context information to the MIDlet via the Muglet, thereby meeting the claim limitation].
- 8. Papineau does not specifically teach input data generated by a non-MIDlet application on the mobile information device. However, Papineau disclosed passing context into a MIDlet using a Muglet class [pgs. 27-28]. Given that MIDlet interaction is limited to MIDlets packaged together in the same MIDlet Suite, namely MIDlets are incapable of interacting with MIDlets outside of the same MIDlet Suite and non-MIDlet applications as disclosed in applicant's background of invention [Specification, pg. 5, line 16-pg. 6, line 7], a person of ordinary skill in the art knowing the communication restriction of MIDlets upon reading Papineau would have applied Papineau's passing of context into a MIDlet using a Muglet class to address either context generated by MIDlets from a different MIDlet Suite or a non-MIDlet application, in which subsequent implementation to address one of the two deficiency in current J2ME MIDlets would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- As to Claim 2, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the first object oriented object is a Muglet object class [pg. 32].

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271 Art Unit: 2194

- As to Claim 3, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein
 the Muglet object class includes at least one of a getMediaType(), getContentType(),
 getMuglet(), getReferringURI() and getURI() object-oriented classes [pgs. 32 and 34-35].
- 11. As to Claim 4, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the second object-oriented class is a System object class [pgs. 28-29, The "Class System" used by the AMS meets this claim limitation].
- As to Claim 5, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein
 the System object class includes a setExitURI() object-oriented method [pgs. 27-28 and 30].
- 13. As to Claim 6, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein input data accepted by the first object-oriented object class and the output data set by the second object-oriented class includes a Uniform Resource Indicator (URI) scheme or an Internet media type [pgs. 30 and 34, The "setCallbackURI()" method for the Class System and the "getURI()" method of Class Muglet meets this claim limitation].
- 14. As to Claim 7, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the output data set by the second object-oriented object class allows execution control to be returned to a previous context being used before the MIDlet was invoked [pg. 35, The

Art Unit: 2194

"setExitURI()" function allows execution control to return to the calling MIDlet].

- 15. As to Claim 9, Papineau does not specifically teach the output data can be used by other non-MIDlet applications on the mobile information device. However, Papineau disclosed the AMS processes context information passed out from a MIDlet to whatever application was set by the call to the "Exit URI" functionality of the System class [pg. 28]. Given that MIDlet interaction is limited to MIDlets packaged together in the same MIDlet Suite, namely MIDlets are incapable of interacting with MIDlets outside of the same MIDlet Suite and non-MIDlet applications as disclosed in applicant's background of invention [Specification, pg. 5, line 16-pg. 6, line 7], a person of ordinary skill in the art knowing the communication restriction of MIDlets upon reading Papineau would have applied Papineau's passing of context into and out of a MIDlet using object-oriented classes to address either context generated by MIDlets from a different MIDlet Suite or a non-MIDlet application, in which subsequent implementation to address one of the two deficiency in current J2ME MIDlets would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 16. As to Claim 10, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the output data can be used by other MIDlets in other MIDlet suites on the mobile information device [pgs. 28 and 37, The "Generic Connection Framework" using the "StreamConnection" allows the MIDlets to share information between MIDlet Suites].

Art Unit: 2194

17. As to Claim 12, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the mobile information device includes a mobile phone, personal digital assistant, or a

two way pager [pgs. 4-7].

18. As to Claim 13, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 1 and 9 above. In

addition, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method of

exchanging output data between applications on a mobile information device, the method

comprising:

executing a MIDlet on a mobile information device [pg. 32, The "getMuglet()" method of

the Muglet Class, called to check for input datal,

wherein the MIDlet has an object-oriented method in an object-oriented object class

available for setting output data from the MIDlet [pg. 34]; and

before the MIDlet is terminated on the mobile information device, using the object-

oriented method in the object-oriented class to set output data from the MIDlet [pgs. 28 and 30,

The processed information is passed out using the "exitURI" in the System class].

19. As to Claim 14, Papineau teaches substantially the method for exchanging output data

between applications, therefore Papineau teaches substantially the computer readable medium

having stored instructions for implementing the method.

20. As to Claim 15, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271

Art Unit: 2194

21. As to Claim 16, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 12.

Page 8

22. As to Claim 17, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 6.

23. As to Claim 18, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 7.

 As to Claim 34, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the MIDlet is packaged within a MIDlet suite [pg. 37].

25. As to Claim 19, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claims 1 and 3 above. In addition, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for exchanging input data between applications on a mobile information device, the method comprising:

invoking a MIDlet from an application management system on a mobile information device [pg. 32, The "getMuglet()" method of the Muglet Class is called to check for input data];

the MIDIet using one or more object-oriented methods in the object-oriented class to accept from the application management system the input data [pgs. 4, 28 and 33-34, The "Application Management System" (AMS) uses methods of a Muglet class to pass data into the called MIDIet].

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271 Art Unit: 2194

29.

Muglet];

- 26. As to Claim 21, Papineau teaches substantially the method for exchanging input data between applications, therefore Papineau teaches substantially the computer readable medium having stored instructions for implementing the method.
- 27. As to Claim 22, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 3.
- 28. As to Claim 23, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 6.
- Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for invoking an application as an application handler on a mobile information device, the method comprising: invoking a MIDlet from an application management system on the mobile information device as a Muglet that acts as a MIDlet handler [pgs. 28, 32 and 35, MIDlet was called as a

As to Claim 25, this claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 1 above. In addition,

wherein the Muglet includes a plurality of object-oriented methods in an object-oriented object class available for using input data [pg. 34, The Muglet has several methods used in processing content]:

calling an object-oriented method in the object-oriented object class from the MIDlet handler to determine what type of input data will be processed by the MIDlet handler, wherein the object-oriented method returns a return value [pg. 35, The "getMediaType()" function determines the type of the media to process]; and

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271

Art Unit: 2194

processing the input data based on the return value by calling one or more other objectoriented methods in the object-oriented object class [p. 37, "Connection Streams" are used after open the media to read and write to the media].

- 30. As to Claim 26, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including invoking another MIDlet from the MIDlet handler using the processed input data [pg. 28, The processed information is passed out using the "exitURI" in the System class].
- 31. As to Claim 27, Papineau teaches substantially the method for invoking an application as an application handler on a mobile information device, therefore Papineau teaches substantially the computer readable medium having stored instructions for implementing the method.
- 32. As to Claim 28, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 6.
- As to Claims 29-30, these claims are rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 3.
- 34. As to Claim 31, Papineau teaches the invention substantially as claimed including wherein the MIDlet handler is a URI scheme or Internet media type handler [pg. 35, The Muglet determines what media type it is designated to handle and processes the information accordingly].

Art Unit: 2194

35. As to Claim 32, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claims 1 and

9.

36. As to Claim 33, this claim is rejected for the same reasoning as provided for Claim 6.

Response to Arguments

37. Applicant's arguments filed 6/19/08 have been fully considered but they are moot in view

of the new ground of rejection.

38. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Qing-Yuan Wu whose telephone number is (571)272-3776. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-6:00pm Monday-Thursday and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/808,271

Art Unit: 2194

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195 /Qing-Yuan Wu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2194